8th International Congress on Advanced Electromagnetic Materials in Microwaves and Optics - Metamaterials 2014
Copenhagen, Denmark, 25-30 August 2014

arXiv:1403.5167v1 [physics.optics] 20 Mar 2014

Waveguide Bandpass Filters made of Thick Complementary Small Resonators

L. M. Pulido-Mancera and J. D. Baena

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Physics Department, Bogotá, Colombia
lmpulidom@unal.edu.co

We explore the potential use of complementary split ring resonators (csrr's) and complementary spirals of two and three turns (csr2 and csr3) to design very compact waveguide filters. We demonstrate its passband response in an experimental and computational form. Besides, it is shown that the design process can be highly simplified by means of a new waveguide model that reduce full wave numerical simulations. Thus, it is possible to design waveguide filters with lengths equal to the thickness of a metallic sheets, leading to an improvement in the design of miniaturized waveguide filters.

I. Introduction

The split ring resonator (srr) was first introduced by Pendry et al. (1999) in order to produce artificial media with a strong magnetic response at microwaves and radio frequencies [1]. Its complementary structure, the csrr recently reported by [2], have been inspired on the Babinet's principle and, similar to the SRRs, it is an electrically small particle that exhibits a quasi-static resonance. A 2D array of csrrs behaves as a passband filter whose resonance frequency depends on its geometrical parameters only. Similarly, for waveguide applications it allows us to replace the well-known resonant cavities coupled by circular irises in waveguide filters, resulting in a compact design [3].

The design process of infinitely thin csrrs and similar geometries like spirals, has been developed by means of equivalent LC-Circuit Models in order to predict the resonance frequency: The intrinsic circuit model for the csrr (dual of the SRR model) has a parallel combination of two inductances connecting the inner disk to the ground and the capacitance of a disk of radius r surrounded by a ground plane at a distance of its edge. Likewise, equivalent circuit models for planar csr2 and csr3 can be found in [4]. However, when the thickness increases, duality given by Babinet's principle is no longer valid and different approaches to predict the resonance in terms of the thickness are needed [5].

II. Waveguide model for thick csrr

Let us consider metallic plate with the csrr geometry etched on it. A frontal view of the resonator with its geometrical parameters are shown in Figure 1a). The same geometrical parameters were used for the csr2 (Fig. 1b) and the csr3 (Fig. 1c). The resonator is excited by a harmonic magnetic field towards the x direction, corresponding to the TE_{10} mode of the rectangular waveguide. In our model, when the surface is thick, each slit of the csrr (csr2 or csr3) can be seen as a rectangular waveguide bended with the shape of C or spiral respectively. Hence, as a waveguide, the lowest frequency that allows maximum transmission is the cutoff frequency f_0 of the mode TE_{10}, when $\lambda_0 = 2L$ with λ_0 the resonance wavelength and L the length of the slit. The second resonance f_1 (associated to λ_1) appears when $\lambda_1 = L$ is satisfied. For each prototype, L, f_0, and f_1 predicted of our simplified model are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Resonances expected with the waveguide model for thick resonators.

Properties	csrr	csr2	csr3
Length /mm	52.40	94.34	125.61
f_0 / GHz	2.86	1.58	1.19
f_1 / GHz	5.72	3.16	2.38

Fig. 1: Resonators used for metasurfaces design. a) csrr, b)csr2, c) csr3. The geometric parameters for all the geometries are $r = 9.0mm$, $c = d = 1.0mm$, $g = 2.9mm$ and h varies from 1.2 mm to 5.8 mm. The thinnest layer is $h = 1.2$mm and the thicker layer is $h = 2.6$mm

III. Experimental Setup

Experiments were carried out by placing the metallic resonator layers shown in Fig. 1 between two waveguides connected through the flange. For each geometry, a set of metallic layers were placed one behind each other between two standard WR340 waveguide of 86 $\times$ 43 mm^2 cross sectional area and cutoff frequency at 1.736 GHz. This area is 16 times bigger than the resonator's area which allow us to find the resonance frequency of a single resonator rather than the resonance of its images produced by the electric walls of the WR340 waveguide.

Full two port calibration up to the coaxial port was performed before the measurements. Each WR340 is connected at the and to coaxial adapters, which are used to excite and detect the signal as shown in Fig. 2a). The vector network analyzer Agilent E5062 is used to measure the $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{11}|$ parameters every time that a new layer is inserted between the waveguides: Fig. 2b) shows how to create a thicker resonator, Fig. 2c). Considering that we are focused in the passband response of these structures, in this paper we will present the results for the S_{21} parameter of the geometries whose resonance associated to λ_0 or λ_1 appears.



(a) (b) (c)

Fig. 2: Experimental Setup. a)Setup to measure the transmission band when the resonator is placed between two waveguides WR340. b) Orientation of the re resonator in front of the aperture to get the proper excitaion mode. c) Equivalent thick resonator

IV. Results

The results for the experimental setup of Fig 2 relating to csrr, csr2, and csr3 are shown in Fig 3. In all cases, the $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{11}|$ parameters were measured and compared with full wave numerical simulation using *CST-Microwave Studio* software within the frequency range [2 - 3 GHz]. It may be observed that the amplitude of peaks found in the $S-$parameters is only slightly greater in simulations than in the experiment, and this amplitude remains approximately constant despite the resonator becomes thicker and higher losses are expected. The differences in resonance frequency f_0 are less than 10% for the thinnest layer ($h = 1.16$) and less than 1% when the surface is thick. See Fig 3. On the other hand, it can be seen that when the thickness of the filter increases, f_0 varies only 350 MHz, approaching constant value of 2.82 GHz, which corresponds to the frequency of the fundamental mode shown in Table 1. Thus, we have verified experimentally our previously waveguide model for thick csrrs based resonators. In the case of the spiral of two turns, csr2, it had been previously shown that the

relation between the resonance of the csrr and csr2 of equal dimensions satisfies $f_0^{csrr} = 2f_0^{csr2}$ [6]. Thus, it is expected no resonance within the range of frequencies of the WR340. Similarly, for the spiral of three turns (csr3), $f_0^{csrr} = 2\sqrt{2}f_0^{csr3}$ is satisfied. Again, f_0 does not appear within the range of frequencies of the WR340. Instead, it can be seen (See Fig 3) the first high order resonance f_1 of Table 1. Therefore, our model not only predicts the first resonance as the cutoff frequency of the equivalent waveguide, but also predicts higher-order resonances. However, in this last case the losses are greater, so that the filter csr3 is not suitable as a good passband filter within this frequency range.



Fig. 3: Results from experiments and simulations varying the thickness of the filter. a) S_{21} for csrr (first resonance f_0), b) S_{21} for csr2 (no resonance), C) S_{21} for csr3 (second resonance f_1).

V. Conclusions

This model is very useful to find the geometric parameters necessary to design a metasurface made of csrr resonators that completely transmits at a fixed frequency within the microwave range. Besides, it was demonstrated by full wave simulation and waveguide experiments the accuracy in the prediction of the resonance frequency of thick resonators. For instance, for the thick csrr we found that $f_0^{sim} = 2.82$ GHz, f_0^{exp} =2.82 GHz which tends to f_0^{model} =2.86 GHz. Besides, it can be verified that the model remains valid for higher order resonances, as shown with the csr3 and its resonance at f_1 within the same frequency range. In the future, it would be necessary to vary the size of each resonator in order to find the resonance peaks for all the geometries proposed.

References

[1] J. B. Pendry, A. J. Holden, D. J. Robins, J. W. Stewart,"Magnetism from conductors and enhanced nonlinear phenomena".*IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech*, vol. **47** pp.2075-2084, 1999.

[2] F. Falcone, T. Lopetegi, M. A. G. Laso, J. D. Baena, J. Bonache, M. Beruete, R. Marqués, F. Martín and M. Sorolla, Babinet Principle Applied to the Design of Metasurfaces and Metamaterials", *Physical Review Letters* , vol. **93**, no 19, p.197401, 2005.

[3] N. Ortiz, J. D. Baena, M. Beruete, F. Falcone, M. A. G. Laso, T. Lopetegi, R. Marqués, F. Martín, J. García-García, M. Sorolla, " Complementary split-ring resonator for compact waveguide filter design". *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters*, vol. **46** pp.88-92, 2005.

[4] J. D. Baena, J. Bonache, F. Martín, R. Marqués, F. Falcone, T. Lopetegi, M. A. G. Laso, J. GarcíaGarcía, I. Gil, M. Flores, M. Sorolla, Equivalent-Circuit Models for Split-Ring Resonators and Complementary Split-Ring Resonators Coupled to Planar Transmission Lines *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. **53**, no 4, p.1451-1460, 2005.

[5] R. Yang, R. Rodríguez-Berral, F. Medina and Y. Hao, "Analytical Model for the Transmission of Electromagnetic Waves Through Arrays of Slits in Perfect Conductors and Lossy Metal Screens", *Journal of Applied Physics* , vol. **109**, p. 103107, 2011.

[6] L.M. Pulido-Mancera, J.D. Baena, J.L. Araque Quijano, "Thickness Effects on the Resonance of Metasurfaces made of SRRs and CSRRs", *2013 IEEE AP-S/USNC-URSI Symposium*, July 7-13, 2013.